

# Wellness Strategy

(A necessary Supplement 0007-02 to W.Str.)



## - WHY should a tumor not be cut, pierced and burned – be it malignant or benign?

- Why biopsy IS NOT AT ALL a sure method of diagnosis?
- Why are data from biopsy not safe to trust?
- Why is this method very dangerous in general?

*Contrary to what has been said here, the beliefs in the predominant medicine are that biopsy is allegedly the most certain method.*

**WHY is this NOT true?**

- And the answer of this question in a nut shell lies in the following:

The body has a remarkable capacity for self-healing!

If a wound is inflicted on it - the body rushes immediately to recover it. And as long as the wound is not fatal - it is enough just for the organism to be left in peace to recover the damage - even in severe injuries.

There is no drug or therapy that can make this so successfully as the organism itself. In fact, that's what the body does after every operation. And Doctors and medications only **help** it do it. That's why you hear them say about a severely injured person: "Leave him, now he needs his rest! If his body is strong - he will pull through and will live."

**BUT!**

Here, in cancer, this insidious disease turns the body's amazing capacity for self-repair - against the body itself:

- In cancer the body of the patient does not seek to kill the cancerous tumor. On the contrary, it in every possible way helps it to as quickly as possible grow and divide: feeds it, waters it, saturates it with oxygen ... To wit, it is a kind of undertaken with a real self-destruction! But why?

- Because the body perceives the growing tumor as an open wound and tries to cure it,

as usual - by stimulating new cell growth.

- I talked to a Doctor, disciple of the predominant Medicine. His specialty is very different from oncology. A capable Physician, a respected Doctor with 35 years' experience. I tried to explain to him how important it is to focus your mind on the Genetic predisposition of the body to generate cancer cells. How important is it to teach yourself **to discover it** in one organism or another by very simple signs, visible even to the naked human eye – and not to close his eyes but, the opposite - to take timely **precautions**.

But this respected Physician, with very typical for the representatives of the predominant Medicine persistence, diverted his mind from simple and obvious things, they slipped from his eyes - and that, when it was a matter of his own health.

He had before him a choice:

a) To implement on himself a Prevention that would protect him from cancer - from malignant form of cancer  
or - b) To not think about it at all

Unfortunately he chose option b).

In this nonthinking he felt somehow more relaxed - he obviously was more bothered by this:

a) lest he somehow be worried and agitated NOW,  
Rather than  
b) to not IN THE FUTURE fall ill and die a painful death

How long can someone reside in such alleged "appeasement"?

Obviously, until then, when the trouble itself comes - and only then to rush in panic and wandering ...

**The ostrich approach: to bury your head in the sand**  
**- and seek reassurance in that "the frightful is no longer visible".**

This is a very typical phenomenon, which I observe everywhere among the followers of the predominant medicine:



1) They do not have the means to cure cancer

2) They focus their attention and efforts

- NOT on **curing the disease itself**, but

- on **concealing its symptoms**. An external absence of these symptoms - already satisfies them. And - **hidden hearths of the disease and detecting them** - this

expression itself already irritates them, disturbs them – they do not want to hear it.

SO:

1) They have invented their own "cure" of cancer:

- Remission (external "sleep" of the disease, which may act in the body hiddenly) - they regard this as "cure" of cancer.

- Holding the tumor growth - for them is a "cure" of cancer.

2) They do not want to think about cancer - if it has no active expression – that's why they **do not engage** in preventive actions: **do not take steps** to prevent cancer



they close their eyes for its signs - if they are not dangerously obvious – they are satisfied with such an ostrich soothing: head in the sand! - and there they are calm ...  
Until when? ...

- Until there comes a moment – there **suddenly** occurs a sound and **relentless** reason -

to pull his head out of the sand and scream in panic:

- "Wow-has-been - now what do we do?" ...

**This is the health "care"** - so characteristic of the predominant Medicine.

Such was the case with this doctor as well. Not knowing a means to cure cancer – he doesn't even want to think about cancer and preventive measures - to not be bothered. So he keeps his head firmly buried in the sand! And so he is calm...

It is essential that this Physician is not an alarmist. Neither is he a cowardly, nor a foolish man.

But **even he** in his conduct, stuck to "the ostrich approach", so typical for the representatives of the predominant Medicine. **So have they taught him!**

This is the standard "thinking". So it is accepted – period: we don't think at all!

- Why?

- Because the followers of the Predominant Medicine HAVE NO means to cure cancer. That's why they prefer the Ostrich "securing".

And what do you think? In your opinion which is the wise choice?

In your opinion - WHICH of these two securings is actually a securing? And which is false?

**The method of diagnosing cancer by biopsy IS NOT the most certain method!** The assertion of the Predominant Medicine that it is the surest method is wrong!  
This method not only is a) **not sure**, but also b) it is **extremely dangerous!**

I told that Doctor that his body has obvious predisposition to generate cancer cells and therefore he must take timely precautions. I tried to explain to him that this predisposition is demonstrated by the fact that on his hands in a few places there were tumorous formations. He said that these are benign formations that exist all over his body and that

the Oncologist had told him not to irritate them because it is dangerous. And just before that we had been talking about the biopsy and I had been affirming that it is a dangerous and uncertain method of diagnosis! And he couldn't see the obvious link between these two questions!

That's how dangerous and dazzling is the standard way of learning in which the human mind does not bother to **think** - and to ask itself the question "WHY?" - along with one or another of the affirmations that we are accustomed to hearing.

I ask: Uncle Doctor, it's nice that your colleague Oncologist told you not to irritate these formations, but the question is WHY did he not tell you WHY to not irritate them? WHY is it dangerous if you irritate them, if you hurt these benign formations?

The answer is: Because IF you hurt them - they, from benign, can become cancerous - can get activated and cause death.

Why?

- Because the fact that they exist means that your body has a Genetic predisposition to generate cancer cells.

- Because an injury of such a benign tumorous formation is a dying of a group of cells by necrosis. And this in turn causes activity described above in "2. Second common weak point for every type of cancer. "(See Appendix 2)

Therefore, Uncle Doctor, the method of diagnosing cancer through biopsy - is:

a) dangerous

and b) uncertain

a) it is DANGEROUS, because in biopsy you do what your colleague oncologist told you NOT TO do with the benign formations on your body: "you irritate" them. You pierce them, take a piece of meat for analysis - that's what biopsy actually is! And the danger is that when you do it - they from benign can become malignant and get activated.

WHY and HOW?

- For the reason of NECROSIS of a group of cells in them.

b) UNCERTAIN method of diagnosis is the biopsy, despite the dominant view, because:

- Before performing a biopsy and getting the material - the tumor may have been benign. You hurt it, you take the material and submit it for analysis.

- The study is performed on the cells from the taken material and you get a positive answer: the tumor is benign.

- But in the meantime, on the human body due to the injury done, can happen that dangerous thing that is not desirable. After which there starts activity – growth of the tumor for which tumor the study, however, gave a different result – a good one! And from this good result - both the patient and the Doctor have reassured themselves – while in fact, this body has already begun the process of lethal activity!

Someone objected on this occasion: But it is not certain!

I said: Yes, right, right! - That's what I'm talking about: IT IS NOT certain! – look, read the sub-headline here!

There logically arise two questions:

1. Are there instances when one can trust this data?
2. Does it make sense to apply this method of diagnosis, since it is so dangerous?

Answer to question 1. : Of course there is. Only when they tell you with certainty that the tumor is malignant - only then it can be trusted!

BUT!

- It remains for you to decide: Is it worth the risk? And if you think it is – then under what circumstances? Here, when you decide, you will have to bear in mind - also WHAT in some very common cases answer these same medics who have advised you to make the biopsy.

This is very important to know - and further we will look at it - and at that with a very indicative example.

Answer to question 2. : Since no one can guarantee that when sampling for biopsy there have not been irritated microformations and there has not been induced activity and formation of metastases in this puncture, the risk is not justified.

It is always risky! The risk can be justified ONLY IN ONE case:

- When you have firmly decided: After the biopsy and diagnosis - to start immediately to cure the cancer by applying the method of Shevchenko. Even if they say to you that you supposedly don't have a malignant tumor - you need, without trusting them at all, to immediately begin the treatment by the basic version of this method – three times a day, for 2-3 years. Shevchenko says that whether you have a malignant or benign tumor – the treatment is according to the basic version of the methodology.

Why at least three?

BUT if you rely on the medics from the dominant medicine, who DON'T HAVE cures for cancer - what's the point of risking? To speed your death? ... And generally in all other cases – what shall we say?

- If a person has decided to necessarily commit suicide - there are many ways that he can do it - and why should he choose the one that is the most slow and painful of them all!

Since they do not have a method proven to cure cancer, malignant tumors, these medics jealously guard any, even the smallest "loophole"=occasion on which – AFTER you cure yourself of the malignant tumor by the method of Shevchenko – they can say to you, "Ah, but you've never had cancer. We've made a mistake in the diagnosis." Such cases in huge numbers are recorded in the archives of Shevchenko - and these cases are all after a diagnose through a biopsy. Then what's the point of this method of diagnosis? Just so they tell you once again "but, this time again we've made a mistake with the diagnosis - you've never had cancer" - for this?...

A very typical case of such jealousy and fanatically guarding these "loopholes" I have remembered (and probably will never forget) when cancer was found to my dog Dolly. There was no doubt it was cancer. The diagnosis was given by the medics themselves. BUT! Because I wanted her cure (AFTER I cure her - with God's help and with this

method) – for her to serve for the salvation and cure of cancer of people who completely trust in the biopsy method, so I wanted to make sure she went through this type of diagnostics.

What happened as a result?

I went through four (4) vets - one after the other. I either went to his office or talked to the doctor over the phone. To every one of them I openly said that I wanted only diagnose – not surgery - and frankly admitted that the treatment of my dog I was going to take care of personally.

What started then?

- There came across the impression as if these people had outright conspired!

(I do not believe they had done so, but I say what the impression is).

- Each would spin away from the issue, insist that there necessarily had to be surgery (which would have to be paid of course!!!), including in one of the four places they strongly offered me to even make sterilization of the dog! Why?... Shall I give you the phone number - so you can ask them?...

- Some did not want to tell me HOW MUCH does separately and ONLY the biopsy cost so I can pay for it, but deviated from the response in other directions. Others told me honestly the sum of 1000 Euros but without specifying whether it is for the biopsy alone or includes surgery after it.

- I went so far as to ask: whether the vet would agree to ONLY take the material from the tumor and give it to me - so (in a pack of ice) I could send it through close ones to Bulgaria, and thus solve the problem - a) about the money and b) about ensuring that this would be ONLY biopsy and not biopsy + surgery

In some of the cases together with me was a famous in Greece dog expert, specializing in breeding and training of hunting dogs with his own farm (George Dyulgeroglu). And he tried to help me in every possible way.

But the fight was futile:

1) They wanted to necessarily impose ALSO an operation (and money for it) - and  
2) To retain for themselves the "loophole" - WHEN I cure her to be able to say: "But there was no cancer here. Error in the diagnosis!"

Due to the vast number of such cases with "errors in the diagnosis" of malignant tumors (perhaps it is established as a style of response and then, internationally), Shevchenko with his inherent common humor, answers:

- I give the medics a topic for thesis: ***"The effect of drinking by patients – of a mixture of vodka and oil by the Methodology of Shevchenko – on the growth of errors by medics in the diagnosis of malignant tumors"***

(-:

So also in this case: When I was convinced that this fight is pointless, that whether I will fight it alone or with helpers, I 1) will not receive ONLY diagnostics through biopsy and moreover, 2) despite the diagnosis there would be left for the medics the rescue "loophole" - I decided that such a fight is pointless. This fanaticism, this "partisanship in science" that unanimity "as if they had conspired" in maneuvering "now we make you a diagnose, and now we deny it," to which tricking they cling "tooth and nail" - is not accidental. It is clear that they will not give up all that because it would impair their

financial interests. So I realized that there is no reason to continue to lead such a struggle for a diagnosis which would then be declared "error - there was no cancer at all", to wit - the same as in a great many other cases where people successfully and definitively cure themselves with the therapy of Shevchenko. This will happen with my dog, too. So I should not waste my time, but - just start to treat my dog.

I admit that I did not have then 1000 Euros. If I had that amount - I would gladly give it: - so they would ONLY make the diagnosis, then I would drop the operation and go - despite the amount paid. But I did not have this money.

So I started directly to treat my dog.

Here are two videos - the first with parts 1 and 2, and in the second video is part 3 of the movie

### **Live, Dolly!**

These two videos were made temporarily - just for the sake of people.

**The first video is only 16 minutes and 14 seconds**

Click these links to it:

on You Tube   
and on VIMEO 

**And the second video is only 10 min and 40 sec**

Click these links to it:

on You Tube   
and on VIMEO 



And the full-length movie is expected to be about 90 minutes. There all these details are about to be included.

And also - the details about the development of the treatment of the tumor in Dolly. Among them are some very important ones, of which I have heard from people treating themselves and defeating cancer with the Therapy of Shevchenko, but I had only heard of. And now in the case of Dolly, I also saw them with my own eyes. People need to know them in order to better cope with the disease. But since these are short videos made in haste to allow the people to benefit from them, so I did not mention the following details in them that I intend to enumerate here in writing, and in the movie - also visually (if possible):

1. When we passed the first cycle - everything that happened is said by me in the video - see Part 3:

- the bleeding disappeared during the first 10-days and the tumor halved simultaneously  
- and after the end of the first cycle the tumor decreased even more – it shrunk to the size of a pea and became soft - like touching a swollen with blood tick on the dog's body. And Dolly not only stopped limping at all, but ran like crazy - as can be seen in part 3.

BUT!

2. Then came the long break between the cycles.

*NOTE:*

*It is no longer 14 but 10 days according to the latest published studies of Shevchenko!*

*All who are treating yourselves, use 10 instead of 14 days between two cycles*

– During this break the tumor began to "catch up", to grow. And grew roughly to 1.5 cm x 2 cm - and Dolly began to limp slightly again, but this time limped more on the left, and less on the right leg.

This gives the answer to the question of a Doctor who asks, "Could not this tumor disappear just like that?"

- IT CANNOT! It can't, my friend, it just is DEPENDENT on this drug! - and "just like that"  
- no way!

3. Today, as I write these lines, is November 9, 2012, and today we move to the 5-day break. I don't believe the tumor for 5 days can "catch up" and increase noticeably. But that's just my guess - and nothing more! So it may be that at the end of the break it will have grown tangibly - I don' know, I don' guess.

4. The second 10-day break begins in 15 days, counting from today, that is - on November 23 and ends on December 2. Then, on Dec. 2, it is entirely possible for it to have maximally increased during this break of 10 days. I can not play on predictions - but, viewing the development it in no case will reach the size to which it grew in the preceding 10-day break.

That is, as a whole, these "waves" - reduction "catching up" reduction "catching up" - lead to its extinction.

And from there – with Dolly we continue to struggle for the disappearance of the micro-formations from her body, which can not be seen with the eye - either armed or unarmed. And that's - two years! Because we do not care to just have the symptoms go away, but – to have the body permanently cured!

This phenomenon - let's call it now "pulse" of the tumor - I had only heard of by the people applying the treatment. Theoretically, I know that the "pulse" leads to ever smaller amplitudes in pulses - and to its final extinction. But I had not seen it with my own eyes. I see it now with Dolly - and share it.

**So if you see it happen to yourself in the course of implementing the therapy of Shevchenko - do not be afraid in the days when the tumor is growing: it will not grow bigger than what it was at the beginning of the treatment, it will grow, but not so much. So - less and less until it finally disappears. And then follows the MANDATORY - 2 years of war at the cellular level - to complete victory!**

Shevchenko explicitly warns: These breaks should never be removed or shortened!  
They are necessary because if you eliminate them - the body gets used to the medication and it will become completely ineffective = will stop working for it.

---

Dr. Peter Glidden reports statistical data that the first leading cause of death in America - those are the medics:

Dr. Peter Glidden, death by Doctor

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8gUJnUEzem4&feature=relmfu>

(here he says it is the third leading cause, and in the next video - new data: THE FIRST!)

Number one killer of Americans - Dead Doctors Don't Lie

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qwIHZDNBAi4&feature=related>

The question is - how is this possible in practice - right?

- Here is a very typical example: How the medic who does not comply with the things I wrote here - in fact, very really, KILLS his patient!

- and no one takes him to court for this!

The example is Veneta Koycheva:

She (HAD!) a carcinoma on her brow.

If this girl had not written to me in time - she would now not be alive!

[@abv.bg](mailto:i-120314-003_Veneta-Koicheva) - She was diagnosed with cancer.

important NOTE: She has carcinoma on her brow, they make her an operation from which of course the invisible (for any Doctor!) cancer cells are stimulated and the situation becomes alarming: „I was made a biopsy before surgery – the cancerous growth was malignant with diagnose basal cell carcinoma.”

Although they established that the tumor is malignant - they cut! What happens in this situation? The medics themselves know very well what will happen: activation!

Even if they have cut clean what they see with their eyes, these medics have injured micro-formations that can not be seen with eyes nor with "arms to the eyes"

Result: the same that would happen if someone pierced or cut a melanoma or other type of similar cancer - the body perceives the cut as a wound and summons the protection system to restore the injured part: it begins to recover the wound - and, of course, it recovers in the first place the cancer cells! - because they are part of it! And so the body begins to destroy itself.

I gave Veneta the necessary standard information.

**And then for her to not allow any more to be cut, pricked, injured, etc. (with which they unconscionably kill her!) – I gave her the following additional information:**

**The more people poison tumors with different poisons, burn them with radiation,**

**cut them with the knives of surgeons and pierce them with biopsies and punctures - the more these tumors are activated, begin to more quickly grow and metastasize.**

And for more details – I sent her also Appendix № 2 (See the end)

=====

A month and a half passed.  
Veneta wrote me about her condition:  
- significant IMPROVEMENT!

June 5, 2012, 14:07

Hi Father John, I am writing to share how is developing the treatment of all my diseases and the fight with cancer. I did a cycle of receiving the healing emulsion, in a few days I am starting the second decade of the second cycle and the results are present. The relapse, which was on my eyebrow is gone, the skin is smooth and is now a normal color ...

*(Here I abbreviate the letter of Veneta because there follows a description of several other diseases, from which she suffers, which are also passing as a result of the treatment, but the levels of cure for them at this stage are different. They are in the process of development towards the final cure. However, our attention in this publication is only focused on cancer. Veneta continues).*

... For the future I intend to go ahead with the treatment – for 2-3 years to heal completely and then will apply only the preventive version.

I am very grateful for your help, advice and all guidelines you give me to deal with all my diseases. After 1-2 cycles I will write again to tell you how I am and how things are going with me.

Greetings Veneta

-----

Following 2 more cycles - Veneta called me again and wrote to me about her success. I asked her also some important questions and in response received valuable information from her. It is useful for everyone - both a medic and a layman - to read all this to the end.

-----

After Veneta through the therapeutic Methodology of Shevchenko achieved victory in the first battle for life, I asked her the following question:

- Before they made you the surgery - did they check whether the tumor you had was benign or malignant? This is a very important question! And if they did a check = test - was it not done through a biopsy? What answer did they give you - that it was benign, or that it was malignant?  
(abbreviated)

And this is what she responded:

I was made a biopsy before the surgery – the cancer growth was malignant with diagnose basal cell carcinoma, 6 m later I had a recurrence, they again took a biopsy – malignant formation they cut out the recurrence. There followed radiation therapy 12 sessions,  
5 months later I contacted you and started taking the emulsion.

(abbreviations of the letter ...)

Thank you, Veneta, for the good wishes!

And now, after this answer, in which you gave me even more valuable information than I expected, let me - as I promised – answer to you so you know:  
- WHAT WAS BEING DONE TO YOU?

Let us further analyze - **what in fact were doing to her** the medics.

When they do to her this operation from carcinoma on the brow - this is what is done:

- Affecting, hurting, killing through necrosis invisible (for any Doctor!) cancer cells - micro-formations. They get irritated and the situation becomes alarming: „I was made a biopsy before the surgery – the cancer growth was malignant with diagnose basal cell carcinoma.”

Although they establish that the tumor is malignant - they cut! What happens in this situation? The medics themselves know very well what will happen: activation! Even if they have cut out clean what they see with their eyes, these medics have injured micro-formations that can not be seen with eyes nor with "arms to the eyes"  
Result: the same that would happen if someone pierced or cut a melanoma or other type of similar cancer - the body perceives the cut as a wound and summons the protection system to restore the injured part: it begins to recover the wound - and, of course, it recovers in the first place the cancer cells! - because they are part of it! And so the body begins to destroy itself:

„6m later I had a recurrence, they again took a biopsy”

Although they already KNOW that the tumor is malignant - they do a biopsy again! Ask them - WHY? WHY? ...

This is how killing of a man is done - which, alas, is not legally prosecuted! That's the "death by doctor" Dr. Peter Glidden talks about! That's why the FIRST leading cause of death in America - are MD doctors - statistically proven! Respectively - the same in

Bulgaria!

What do they ascertain with this second - completely unnecessary and downright forbidden biopsy? What else could they find? Ask Johnnie - even he will tell you:

- malignancy

What else can they find - besides that? ... And what do they do? Still the same:

they cut out the recurrence.

Do they stop here? Alas - NO! What else do they do?

There followed radiation therapy 12 sessions,

Why is this radiation? - Is there anyone who has not guessed? - What is this radiation?

Answer: This is chasing and killing ants with a pin – in a dug up ant-hill! That is – the medics are burning with rays those microcarcinomas which they can see with their eyes - armed and naked - thereby trying to stop a process that was caused, kindled and fanned by themselves!!! – with this burning they are fanning it even more!

**Final result:** „I have a relapse, which is moving up from the eyebrow to the head”

If that girl had not called in time - they would just FINISH her! That is - they would finish what they had started to do!...

BUT! - There is a God!

5 months later I contacted you and started taking the emulsion.

Veneta starts the therapy - and the process takes exactly the opposite direction: instead of towards death, which has been the development so far - now it turns around and starts going back towards life! The carcinoma passes COMPLETELY!

Veneta sends me a picture

i-120314-003\_Veneta-Koicheva.jpg

and gives me consent to publish the information.

To the veterinarian, whose body was covered with tumors, I explained it this way: You need the prevention treatment by the methodology of Shevchenko.

He answered: The oncologist told me "do not irritate them!"

I said: He correctly told you that, but answer me WHY? WHY you should not irritate them?

He didn't answer.

I answer you: Because there is a great risk for those formations to become malignant - SO the oncologist told you "don't irritate them!" And now I ask:

- What is done in a biopsy? Answer: They are irritated! So - what will result from the biopsy? ...

This explanation is easily understandable even to a layman. But medics have ossified thinking, and often – even lack of such, and have instead - blind following of patterns. So he did not understand. Or did not want to understand.

But I hope that everyone who reads this publication – WILL UNDERSTAND:

**- WHY biopsy is not at all a sure method for diagnosis of cancer and the obtained results SHOULD NOT be trusted?**

**- Why, besides not being a sure method, the biopsy is in addition a DANGEROUS**

## method?

– that's exactly why and with hope for understanding I publish it!

Many Doctors agree with me, while many medics will tell you that what you have just read is misleading - but the truth is that they themselves are misled.  
The preceding text explains it clearly enough.

But actually that's normal: some agree and others resist! - There is no Truth that everyone and always and immediately accepts only with "YES"!

Every conscientious and intelligent medic should consider all that's written here - to protect and NOT KILL the patients, who WITH TRUST have come to him!

And every cancer patient with a similar diagnosis must ALSO take into account all that's written here - **to protect himself** from the dangers that await him when he goes with trust where trust does NOT ALWAYS have to be - and take precautions to NOT BE KILLED by those to whom he has come with trust!

---

Like I said, Veneta sent me a picture - Appendix 1.

See the scar on her forehead – on her brow. Everyone understands that it is from the cutting the medics have done. Did they manage to heal anything with this cutting?  
**- Nothing!**  
(See *the text above*)

- **Managed Veneta herself** - as with God's help, she realized the truth, ceased to be subjected to the excessive, unnecessary, harmful, deadly cuts. Stopped them completely and got cured with the therapeutic Methodology that WORKS = CURES!

If she had never allowed to be cut and immediately after receiving the diagnosis had from the outset applied this therapeutic Methodology – now she would have a clean face without a scar. Smooth skin.

## **EVERY organ can be healed!**

Why is it necessary to cut off and dispose of it as worthless in the trash?  
EACH organ of the body is NEEDED! God did not create "unnecessary," "excessive" "useless" organs! - On the contrary: The more we look at and know the body and its make-up - the more this knowledge evokes our admiration for this perfection!  
**THERE IS NO excessive organ!**

- So why is this inquisition? Why is this defacing of the body?  
- Why are we not wise, conscientious? Why not just heal the sick part, so it starts to function normally again?

Veneta has only a scar on her forehead - a bitter memory of her meeting with the

predominant medicine - memory for a lifetime!

And what to say about those people to whom are cut off their - kidneys, lungs, intestines and other organs or parts of them - and scrapped and these people, thus eventually still die, only more painfully!

Why do it? ...

People, think!

God has given you a mind - to think with it! - Use this mind as intended!

---

## Appendix № 1

Photo of Veneta after her victory in the first battle in the war against cancer:



## Appendix № 2

# The Genetic Biomechanism Of Suicide

English version – COMING SOON!

Since an effective universal **Cure of cancer already EXISTS**

- it means that: **People do not die of cancer any more!**

**People are dying now:**

- from lack of **INFORMATION**

- and from **DESTRUCTIVE AND FATAL ADVICE**

---

You may download this document and use it for yourself or others.

**You may not sell it – Distribute it FREE OF CHARGE!**

None of the content may be copied without special permission from the author. When using on the web - back link is required to:

[www.zdravoslov.info](http://www.zdravoslov.info)

[www.cancercureclinic.info](http://www.cancercureclinic.info)

<http://cancer-en.blogspot.com/>

**Check these links regularly for useful updates!**

Copyright © 2011 Wellness Strategy, Author + Fr. John (Vasilevski), All Rights Reserved.

PROTECTED BY **COPYSCAPE** DO NOT COPY